

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Thaddeus Lorenzo Curry,	)	C/A No. 0:11-677-MBS-PJG
	)	
Petitioner	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
Warden of Lieber Correctional Institution,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

The petitioner has filed this action, *pro se*, seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The respondent filed motions to dismiss and for summary judgment on July 29, 2011, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (ECF Nos. 24 & 25.) As the petitioner is proceeding *pro se*, the court entered an order pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975) on August 1, 2011, advising the petitioner of the importance of a motion to dismiss and for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. (ECF No. 26.) The petitioner was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, the respondent's motions may be granted, thereby ending his case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's Roseboro order, the petitioner has failed to respond to the motions. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motions and wishes to abandon this action.

Based on the foregoing, it is

**ORDERED** that the petitioner shall advise the court as to whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to the respondent's motions to dismiss and for summary judgment within fourteen (14) days from the date of this order. The petitioner is further advised that if he fails

to respond, **this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute.** See Davis v. Williams, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**



---

Paige J. Gossett

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

September 9, 2011  
Columbia, South Carolina